

March 27, 1980

LB 647

receiving one hundred percent exemption on their home, will now go back on the tax rolls, because married couples, according to the committee amendments can earn as high as \$12,500 and get twenty percent. He won't even get twenty percent....the family, because we have included three Social Security checks and \$1200 of...or I'm sorry, yes, \$1200 of taxable income. I really believe that it is not the intent of the Legislature to insist that this type of income, which is the circuit breaker of two years ago...it also includes railroad retirement, state unemployment insurance, interest received from federal or state government or any of their instrumentalities, workmen's compensation, the gross amount of loss of time insurance, gifts greater than 300, and at this point in my presentation I would like to ask Senator Johnson a question. Senator Johnson, in the case of the people that I just mentioned, or the story of the three people, the husband, the wife and the retarded son, wouldn't you say that putting these people back at one hundred percent on the property tax rolls is not what this Legislature intended when we had first introduced the homestead exemption for the elderly? Now these two people are both over 65. How would you suggest that they....and I believe that this was answered probably in committee or at one of the nutrition sites, and if you can't answer it I will answer it the way it was answered to me. They were told, and they were told at a nutrition site that the thing that they should do is make arrangements for this couple to say that their son paid them room and board or rent, and then the question was asked, what if it is a dollar a year? Well, they would accept that. In that case the son's Social Security would not be included in the total amount. We are asking the senior citizens....in case, I, myself, and my husband brought back one of our children because they lost their job, or were losing their home and because of a financial condition came back to live with me, and I was over 65 and we had the homestead exemption, I would have to include their income even if it was unemployment, ADC, welfare, I would have to add that income along with mine before I would be eligible for the homestead exemption. I think this is wrong, and that is when we are told, well then you make arrangements with your family to show that they are paying you rent or paying you room and board, and then you wouldn't have to include their income....

SPEAKER MARVEL: You have thirty seconds.

SENATOR LABEDZ: ....even though they don't give the husband and wife one cent of their ADC or their unemployment. I oppose Senator Johnson's amendment. I have a couple amendments